

Generalized Fuzzy Conditional Inference Method on Fuzzy Intuitions for Granular Propositions

Venkata Subba Reddy Poli

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

June 1, 2020

Generalized Fuzzy Conditional Inference on Fuzzy Intuitions for Granular Propositions

Poli Venkata Subba Reddy

Abstract: Fukami, Muzumoto and Tamaka are studied fuzzy intuitions based on Godel and Standard sequence definitions. The fuzzy intuitions are not fit for Zadeh fuzzy conditional inference. In this paper, fuzzy intuitions are studied with granular propositions. The fuzzy conditional inference is studied for these fuzzy intuitions which contain "and/or", "if \cdots then \cdots else \cdots " and truth variables. These fuzzy intuitions are studied with propped fuzzy conditional inference. Some examples are discussed for these fuzzy intuitions.

Keywords Fuzzy sets \cdot Fuzzy logic \cdot Fuzzy reasoning \cdot Two fold fuzzy set \cdot Fuzzy conditional inference \cdot Fuzzy intuitions \cdot Fuzzy truth variables \cdot Business intelligence

1. Introduction

There are many theories proposed to deal with incomplete information. The fuzzy logic[20] deals with "Belief" rather than "likelihood" (probability). Zadeh [15]. Mamdani [2] and Reddy [13] proposed fuzzy conditional inference. Fukami [1] proposed fuzzy intuitions and shown that Zadeh fuzzy conditional inference is not suitable for these intuitions. Fukami [6] adapting the Godel definition to prove some fuzzy intuitions. These methods used the certain restrictions. The proposed method [13] is used to prove some more fuzzy intuitions. Zadeh defined fuzzy set with a single membership function. The fuzzy set with a two membership functions will give more evidence than a single membership function.

The two fold fuzzy set $\tilde{A} = \{Z_1, Z - 2, False\}$, Where Z_1 support the evidence and Z_2 is against the evidence.

 $\tilde{A}=\{True, False\}$ or $\{Likely, Unlikely\}$ or $\{Belied, Disbelief\}$ or $\{Positive, Negativee\}$ etc

The fuzzy certainty factor (FCF) is difference between Z_1 and Z_2 and which will eliminate conflict Consider the fuzzy proposition "x is \tilde{A} ".

The evidence is granular if it consists of collection of propositions,

 $E = \{g_1, g_2, ..., g_n\}$ $g_1 = x_1 \text{ is } \tilde{A_1} \text{ is } \lambda_1$ $g_2 = x_2 \text{ is } \tilde{A_2} \text{ is } \lambda_2$...

 $g_1 = x_n$ is $\tilde{A_n}$ is λ_n

Suppose we have granular propositions

 g_1 = Rama is very young is true

 g_2 = Rama is young is very belief

 g_3 = Sita is beautiful is very likely

What is the fuzziness of the granular fuzzy propositions?

The granular fuzzy proposition is "x is \tilde{A} is λ ". Where λ is true, false, very likely, more or less unlikely, very belief etc. The fuzzy granular propositions may contain "if ... then ... else ... " and "and/or ".

If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{Q} else y is \tilde{R} is λ If x is \tilde{P} and x is \tilde{Q} or x is \tilde{R} then y is \tilde{S} is λ

Type-1 indent If x is \tilde{P} and x is \tilde{Q} or x is \tilde{R} then y is \tilde{S} x is $\tilde{P_1}$ and x is $\tilde{Q_1}$ or x is $\tilde{R_1}$

y is?

If x is \tilde{P} and x is \tilde{Q} or x is \tilde{R} then y is \tilde{S} is λ x is $\tilde{P_1}$ and x is $\tilde{Q_1}$ or x is $\tilde{R_1}$

y is ?

If apple is red and apple is ripe or apple is sweet then apple is good is true apple is very red and apple is more or less ripe or apple is not sweet

apple is ?

Type-2 If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{Q} else y is \tilde{R} x is \tilde{P}_1

y is ? If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{Q} else y is \tilde{R} is λ x is \tilde{P}_1

y is ?

If *Rama* is *Tall* then *Sita* is *Small* else *Sita* is *Middle* is true *Rama* is very *Tall*

Sita is?

2. Fuzzy Logic Based on Two Fold Fuzzy Sets

Zadeh defined fuzzy set with a single membership function [20]. The fuzzy set with two fuzzy member functions "True" and "False" will give more evidence than the single fuzzy membership function to deal with incomplete information. In the following "two fold fuzzy set" is defined with "True" and "False" fuzzy membership functions. The fuzzy logic and fuzzy reasoning of single membership function is extended to fuzzy logic with two membership functions "True" and "False".

2.1. The Two Fold Fuzzy Sets

"A two fold fuzzy set" may be defined with two membership functions "True" and

"False" for the proposition of type "x is A". The fuzzy set with two membership functions "True" and "False" will give more evidence than the single membership function.

For instance "Rama has Headache".

In this fuzzy proposition, the fuzzy set "Headache" may be defined with "True" and "False".

Definition 2.1 The "a two fold fuzzy set" \tilde{A} in a universe of discourseX is defined by its membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where $\tilde{A} = \{\mu_A^{True}(x), \mu_A^{False}(x)\}$ and $x \in X\}$

 $\mu_A^{True}(x)$ and $\mu_A^{False}(x)$ are the fuzzy membership functions of the "a two fold fuzzy set" \tilde{A} ,

$$\mu_A^{True}(x) = \int \mu_A^{True} / x(x) = \mu_A^{True}(x_1) / x_1 + \dots + \mu_A^{True}(x_n) / x_n$$

 $\mu_A^{False}(x) = \int \mu_A^{False}(x)/x = \mu_A^{False}(x)\mu_A^{False}(x_1)/x_1 + \dots + \mu_A^{False}(x_n)/x_n$, where "+" is union,

For example, "young" may be given for the fuzzy proposition "*x* is young "

young = {
$$\mu_{young}^{True}(x), \mu_{young}^{False}(x)$$
},

$$\mu_{young}^{True}(x) = \{0.9/10 + 0.8/15 + 0.69/20 + 0.59/25 + 0.5/30 + 0.42/35 + 0.36/40 + 0.31/45 + 0.26/50\},\$$

$$\mu_{young}^{False}(x) = \{0.36/10 + 0.31/15 + 0.26/20 + 0.23/25 + 0.2/30 + 0.18/35 + 0.16/40 + 0.14/45 + 0.12/50\}.$$

For instance. "Rama is young" with fuzziness {0.8, 02}, where 0.8 is "True" and 0.2 is "False".

The Graphical representation of "True" and "False" of "young" is shown in Fig.1.



Fig.1 Two fold fuzzy set membership functions

2.2. The Two Fold Fuzzy Logic

The fuzzy logic is combination of fuzzy sets using logical operators. The fuzzy logic with "two fold fuzzy sets" is combination of "two fold fuzzy sets" using logical op-

erators. The fuzzy logic bases on "two fold fuzzy sets" can be studied similar lines of Zadeh's fuzzy logic.

Some of the logical operations are given below for fuzzy sets with two fold fuzzy membership functions.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}, \ \tilde{B} \ \text{and} \ \tilde{C} \ \text{are fuzzy sets with two fold fuzzy membership functions.} \\ \text{Let tall, weight and more or less weight are two fold fuzzy sets.} \\ \text{tall} &= \{0.9/x_1 + 0.8/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.4/x_4 + 0.2/x_5, \\ 0.5/x_1 + 0.4/x_2 + 0.3/x_3 + 0.2/x_4 + 0.1/x_5\} \\ \text{weight} &= \{0.8/x_1 + 0.7/x_2 + 0.5/x_3 + 0.3/x_4 + 0.2/x_5, \\ 0.2/x_1 + 0.2/x_2 + 0.1/x_3 + 0.1/x_4 + 1/x_5\} \\ \text{more or less weight} &= \{0.9/x_1 + 0.8/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.5/x_4 + 0.4/x_5, \\ 0.4/x_1 + 0.4/x_2 + .3/x_3 + .3/x_4 + 0.3/x_5\}. \end{split}$$

Negation

 $\begin{array}{l} x \text{ is not } \tilde{A} \\ \tilde{A'}(x) &= \{1 - \mu_A^{True}(x), 1 - \mu_A^{False}(x)\}/x \\ x \text{ is not tall} \\ \tilde{tall} &= \{0.9/x_1 + 0.8/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.4/x_4 + 0.2/x_5, \\ 0.5/x_1 + 0.4/x_2 + 0.3/x_3 + 0.2/x_4 + 0.1/x_5\} \\ 1 - \tilde{tall} &= \{0.1/x_1 + 0.2/x_2 + 0.3/x_3 + 0.6/x_4 + 0.8/x_5, \\ 0.5/x_1 + 0.6/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.8/x_4 + 0.9/x_5\}. \end{array}$

Disjunction

 $\begin{array}{l} x \mbox{ is } \tilde{A} \mbox{ or } y \mbox{ is } \tilde{B} \\ \tilde{A} \lor \tilde{B} = \{ \max(\mu_A^{True}(x), \mu_B^{True}(y)), \max(\mu_A^{False}(x), \mu_B^{False}(y)) \} / (x, y), \\ \mbox{ tall } \lor \mbox{ weight= } \{ 0.9/x_1 + 0.8/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.6/x_4 + 0.5/x_5, \\ 0.4/x_1 + 0.3/x_2 + 0.2/x_3 + .1/x_4 + .1/x_5 \}. \end{array}$

Conjunction

x is \tilde{A} and y is \tilde{B} $\tilde{A} \wedge \tilde{B} = \{\min(\mu_A^{True}(x), \mu_B^{True}(y)), \min(\mu_A^{False}(x), \mu_B^{False}(y))\}/(x, y),$ tall \wedge weight = $\{0.8/x_1 + 0.7/x_2 + 0.5/x_3 + 0.2/x_4 + 0.2/x_5,$ $0.1/x_1 + 0.1/x_2 + 0.1/x_3 + 0.1/x_4 + 0.1/x_5\}.$

Fuzzy Conditional Inference

 $\begin{aligned} &\text{Zadeh} \ [18] \ \text{fuzzy conditional inference is given as} \\ &\text{if } x \text{ is } \tilde{A} \text{ then } y \text{ is } \tilde{B} = \tilde{A} \to \tilde{B} = \min\{1, 1 - \tilde{A} + \tilde{B}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1} \\ &= \{\min(1, 1 - \mu_A^{True}(x) + \mu_B^{True}(y)), \min(1, 1 - \mu_A^{False}(x) + \mu_B^{False}(y))\}/(x, y), \\ &\text{tall} \to \text{weight} = \{0.9/x_1 + 0.9/x_2 + 0.8/x_3 + 0.9/x_4 + 1/x_5, \\ 0.7/x_1 + 0.8/x_2 + 0.9/x_3 + 0.9/x_4 + 1/x_5\}. \end{aligned}$ Mamdani [5] fuzzy conditional inference is given as if x is \tilde{A} then y is $\tilde{B} = \tilde{A} \to \tilde{B} = \tilde{A} \times \tilde{B}$ (2.2) if x_1 is \tilde{A}_1 and x_2 is \tilde{A}_2 and \cdots and x_n is \tilde{A}_n then y is $\tilde{B} = \min\{\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, \dots, \tilde{A}_n, \tilde{B}\}$ tall × weight = $\{0.8/x_1 + 0.7/x_2 + 0.5/x_3 + 0.2/x_4 + 0.2/x_5, \\ 0.1/x_1 + 0.1/x_2 + 0.1/x_3 + 0.1/x_4 + 0.1/x_5\}. \end{aligned}$ Most of the decisions, the consequent part is given from precedent part for fuzzy conditional inference [5].

if x_1 is A_1 and x_2 is $A_2 \cdots x_n$ is A_n , then y is $B=f(A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n)$. $f(A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n) = (A_1 and A_2 and \cdots and A_n)$ =min (A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n) . if x is A then y is B=Ai.e B=A (2.3)if x_1 is $\tilde{A_1}$ and x_2 is $\tilde{A_2}$ and \cdots and x_n is $\tilde{A_n}$ then y is $\tilde{B} = \tilde{A_1}$ and $\tilde{A_2}, \cdots, \tilde{A_n} = \min{\{\tilde{A_1}, \ldots, \tilde{A_n}\}}$ $\tilde{A_2}, \ldots, \tilde{A_n}, \tilde{A_1}, \tilde{A_2}, \ldots, \tilde{A_n}$ $=\min\{\tilde{A_1}, \tilde{A_2}, \dots, \tilde{A_n}\}$ $\tilde{B} = \tilde{A_1}$ and $\tilde{A_2}, \cdots, \tilde{A_n}$ The fuzzy conditional inference is given as, if x_1 is $\tilde{A_1}$ and x_2 is $\tilde{A_2}$ and \cdots and x_n is $\tilde{A_n}$ then y is \tilde{B} $=\{\min(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, \dots, \tilde{A}_n)\}$ if x is \tilde{A} then y is $\tilde{B} = \{A\}$ (2.4) $\tilde{tall} \rightarrow w\tilde{eight} = \{0.9/x_1 + 0.8/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.4/x_4 + 0.2/x_5, \}$ $0.5/x_1 + 0.4/x_2 + 0.3/x_3 + 0.2/x_4 + 0.1/x_5$ Zadeh [18] fuzzy conditional inference is given as if x is \tilde{A} then y is \tilde{B} else y is $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{A} \times \tilde{B} + \tilde{A'} \times \tilde{C})$ where "+" is union Reddy [13] fuzzy conditional inference is given for "if x is \tilde{A} then y is \tilde{B} else y is \tilde{C} " as, if x is \tilde{A} then y is $\tilde{B} = \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ if x is not \tilde{A} then y is $\tilde{C} = \tilde{A'} \to \tilde{C}$ Composition if x is \tilde{A} then y is \tilde{B} x is $\tilde{A_1}$ y is $\tilde{A_1} \circ (\tilde{A} \to \tilde{B})$
$$\begin{split} \tilde{A} \circ (\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}) = & \{\min\{\mu_A^{True}(x), \min(1, 1 - \mu_A^{True}(x) + \mu_B^{True}(y))\},\\ & \min\{\mu_A^{Diselief}(x), \min(1, 1 - \mu_A^{False}(x) + \mu_B^{False}(y))\}\}/y \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\text{if } x = y \\ &= \{\min\{\mu_A^{True}(x), \min(1, 1 - \mu_A^{True}(x) + \mu_B^{True}(x))\}, \\ &\min\{\mu_A^{Diselief}(x), \min(1, 1 - \mu_A^{False}(x) + \mu_B^{False}(x))\} \end{split}$$

if x is tall then x is weight x is very tall

x is very tall o (*tall* \rightarrow weight)

Fuzzy quantifiers

The fuzzy propositions may contain quantifiers like "very", "more or less" etc. These fuzzy quantifiers may be eliminated as

Concentration

x is very \tilde{A} $\mu_{very \ \tilde{A}}(x) = \{\mu_{veryA}^{True}(x)^2, \mu_{veryA}^{False}(x)^2\}$ x is very tall $\mu_{very \ tall}(x) = \{0.81/x_1 + 0.64/x_2 + 0.49/x_3 + 0.16/x_4 + 0.04/x_5, 0.25/x_1 + 0.16/x_2 + 0.09/x_3 + 0.04/x_4 + 0.01/x_5\}$

Diffusion

if x is more or less \tilde{A} $\mu_{more or less \ \tilde{A}}(x) = \{\mu_{more or less A}^{True}(x)^2, \mu_{more or less A}^{False}(x)^{0.5}\}$ if x is more or less tall $\mu_{more or less \ tall}(x) = \{0.95/x_1 + 0.89/x_2 + 0.84/x_3 + 0.63/x_4 + 0.45/x_5, 0.70/x_1 + 0.63/x_2 + .054/x_3 + 0.44/x_4 + 0.31/x_5\}.$

3. Fuzzy Inference for Fuzzy Intuitions

Consider the logical inferences

Modus Ponesr

 $p \to q$ P q

Modus Tollens

 $p \to q$ q'

P'

Generalization

 $p \lor q = p$ $P \lor q = q$ $p' \lor p = \text{Contradictory}$

Specialization

 $p \land q = p$ $p \land q = q$ $p' \land p = \text{Contradictory}$

The inference is given using generalization and specialization

 $p \land q \lor r = p \lor r = p$ $p \land q \lor r = q \lor r = q$ $p \land q \lor r = p \lor r = r$

Consider fuzzy inference Type-1

If x is \tilde{P} and x is \tilde{Q} or x is \tilde{R} then y is \tilde{S} x is \tilde{P}_1 and x is \tilde{Q}_1 or x is \tilde{R}_1

y is ?

The fuzzy inference is given for Type-1 using generalization and specialization

If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} x is $\tilde{P_1}$ y is ? If x is \tilde{Q} then y is \tilde{S} x is \tilde{Q}_1 y is ? If x is \tilde{R} then y is \tilde{S} x is $\tilde{R_1}$ y is ? Confider fuzzy inference Type-2 If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{Q} else y is \tilde{R} x is $\tilde{P_1}$ y is ? The fuzzy inference is given for Type-2 using generalization and specialization if x is \tilde{P} then x is \tilde{Q} x is $\tilde{P_1}$

y is ? if x is P' then x is \tilde{R} x is \tilde{P}_1

y is ?

From fuzzy conditional inference Type-1 and Type-2, the two criterions may be given as

Criteria-1

If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} x is \tilde{P}_1 y is ? Criteria-2

(if x is P' then x is \tilde{R}) x is $\tilde{P_1}$

y is ?

The fuzzy inference is drawing a conclusion from fuzzy propositions. The fuzzy intuitions for Criteria-1 Based on Fukami are given as.

I-1 if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} x is \tilde{P} y is \tilde{S} I-2 if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} y is \tilde{S} x is \tilde{P}

II-1

if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} x is very \tilde{P}

y is very \tilde{S}

II-2

if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} y is very \tilde{S}

x is \tilde{P}

III-1

if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} x is more or less \tilde{P}

y is *Š*

III-2

if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} y is more or less \tilde{S}

x is \tilde{P}

IV-1 if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} x is not \tilde{P}

y is not \tilde{S}

IV-2 if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} y is not \tilde{S}

x is not \tilde{P}

The fuzzy inference is given for Criteria-1 according to fuzzy intuitions.

Intution	Proposition	Inference
I-1	x is \tilde{P}	y is \tilde{S}
I-2	y is \tilde{S}	x is \tilde{P}
II-1	x is very \tilde{P}	y is very \tilde{S}
II-2	y is very \tilde{S}	x is \tilde{P}
III-1	x is more or less \tilde{P}	y is \tilde{S}
III-2	y is mor or less \tilde{S}	is <i>P̃</i>
IV-1	x is not \tilde{P}	y is not \tilde{S}
IV-2	y is not \tilde{S}	x is not \tilde{P}

Table 1 : Fuzzy inference for Criteria-1.

4. Verification of fuzzy intuition using Fuzzy Conditional Inference

Verification of fuzzy intuitions for Criteria-1

4.1.1 In the case of intuition I-1

 $\begin{array}{l} \tilde{P} \mathrel{\circ} (\tilde{P} {\rightarrow} \tilde{S}) \\ = \tilde{P} \mathrel{\circ} (\tilde{P} {\times} \tilde{S}) \end{array}$

10

 $= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ \left(\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)\right)$ Using (2.4) $= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ \left(\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)\right)$ $= \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y) \wedge \left(\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)\right)$ $= \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(x)$ =y is \tilde{S} intuition I-1 satisfied.

4.1.2 In the case of intuition I-2

$$\begin{split} &(\tilde{P} \to \tilde{S}) \circ \tilde{S} \\ &= (\tilde{P} \times \tilde{S}) \circ \tilde{S} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y) \\ &\text{Using (2.4)} \\ &= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y) \\ &\text{Using (2.3)} \\ &= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \\ &= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \\ &= x \text{ is } \tilde{P} \\ &\text{intuition I-2 satisfied.} \end{split}$$

4.1.3 In the case of intuition II-1

very $\tilde{P} \circ (\tilde{P} \to \tilde{S})$ =very $\tilde{P} \circ (\tilde{P} \times \tilde{S})$ = $\int \mu_{very\bar{P}}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\bar{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\bar{S}}(y))$ Using (2.4) = $\int \mu_{very\bar{P}}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\bar{P}}(x))$ Using (4.1) = $\int \mu_{\bar{S}}(x)^2 \wedge (\int \mu_{\bar{S}}(y))$ $\int \mu_{\bar{S}}(y)^2$ = $\int \mu_{very\bar{S}}(x)$ =y is very \tilde{S} Where $\int \mu_{\bar{S}}(y)^2 \subseteq \int \mu_{\bar{S}}(y)$. $\int \mu_{\bar{S}}(y)^2 \leq \int \mu_{\bar{S}}(y)$. intuition II-1 satisfied.

4.1.4 In the case of intuition II-2

 $(\tilde{P} \to \tilde{S}) \text{ o very } \tilde{S}$ $= (\tilde{P} \times \tilde{S}) \text{ o very } \tilde{S}$ $= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \land \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)) \text{ o } \int \mu_{veryQ}(y)$ Using (2.4) $= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)) \text{ o } \int \mu_{very\tilde{S}}(y)$ Unking (2.3) $= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)) \land \int \mu_{very\tilde{P}}(x)^{2}$ $\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)^{2}$

 $= \int \mu_{very\bar{P}(x)}$ =x is very \tilde{P} $\tilde{P}(x)^2 \subseteq \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x).$ $\int r^{-\bar{P}}(x)^2 \leq \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x).$ intuition II-2 satisfied.

4.1.5 In the case of intuition III-1

more or less \tilde{P} o $(\tilde{P} \to \tilde{S})$ = more or less \tilde{P} o $(\tilde{P} \times \tilde{S})$ = $\int \mu_{moreorless\tilde{P}}(x)$ o $(\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \land \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y))$ Using (2.4) = $\int \mu_{moreorless\tilde{P}}(x)$ o $(\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y))$ Using (2.3) = $\int \mu_{moreorless\tilde{S}}(x) \land (\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y))$ $\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)^{0.5}$ = $\int \mu_{moreless\tilde{S}(y)}$ =y is more or less \tilde{S} Where $\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)^{0.5} \ge \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)$. $\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)^{0.5} \ge \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)$. intuition III-1 satisfied.

4.1.6 In the case of intuition III-2

$$\begin{split} &(\tilde{P} \to \tilde{S}) \text{ o more or less } \tilde{S} \\ &= (\tilde{P} \times \tilde{S}) \text{ o very } \tilde{S} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \land \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)) \text{ o } \int \mu_{moreorless} \tilde{S}(x) \\ &\text{Using (2.4)} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)) \text{ o } \int \mu_{moreorless} \tilde{S}(y) \\ &\text{Unking (2.3)} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)) \land \int \mu_{moreorless} \tilde{P}(x) \\ &\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)^{0.5} \\ &= \int \mu_{moreorless} (\tilde{P}x) \\ &= x \text{ is more or less } \tilde{P} \\ &\text{Where} \\ &\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)^{0.5} \ge \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x). \\ &\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)^{0.5} \ge \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x). \\ &\inf \text{ intuition III-2 satisfied.} \end{split}$$

4.1.7 In the case of intuition IV-1

not $\tilde{P} \circ (\tilde{P} \to \tilde{\tilde{S}})$ $=\tilde{P'} \circ (\tilde{P} \times \tilde{\tilde{S}})$ $= \int \mu_{\tilde{P'}}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y))$ $= \int \mu_{\tilde{P'}}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x))$ Using (2.4) $= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \wedge (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x))$ = contradictory intuition IV-1 not satisfied.

4.1.8 In the case of intuition IV-2

$$\begin{split} &(\tilde{P} \rightarrow \tilde{S}) \circ \tilde{S'} \\ &= (\tilde{P} \times \tilde{S}) \circ \tilde{S'} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \land \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{S'}}(x) \\ &\text{Using (2.4)} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{S'}}(y) \\ &\text{Using (2.3)} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \\ &= \text{Contradictory} \\ &\text{intuition IV-2 not satisfied.} \end{split}$$

Criteria-1 is suitable for I-1,I-2, II-1, II-2, III-1 and III-2.

The fuzzy intuitions are give based on Fukami for Criteria-2.

I'-1

if x is \tilde{P} ' then y is \tilde{R} x is \tilde{P}

y is *R*

I'-2

if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} y is \tilde{R}

y is P

II'-1

if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} x is very \tilde{P}

y is very \tilde{R}

II'-2

if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} y is very \tilde{R}

y is \tilde{P}

III'-1

if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} x is more or less \tilde{P}

y is *Ã*

III'-2

if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} y is more or less \tilde{R}

y is \tilde{S}

IV'-1

if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} x is not \tilde{P}

y is not \tilde{R}

IV'-2

if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} y is not \tilde{R}

x is not \tilde{P}

The inference is given for Criteria-1 according to intuitions.

Intution	Proposition	Inference
I'-1	x is \tilde{P}	y is <i>Ã</i>
I'-2	y is <i>Ã</i>	x is \tilde{P}
II'-1	x is very \tilde{P}	y is very <i>Ã</i>
II'-2	y is very \tilde{R}	x is \tilde{P}
III'-1	x is more or less \tilde{P}	y is <i>Ã</i>
III'-2	y is mor or less \tilde{R}	is <i>P̃</i>
IV'-1	x is not \tilde{P}	y is not \tilde{R}
IV'-2	y is not \tilde{R}	x is not \tilde{P}

Table 2 : Fuzzy inference for Criteria-2.

Verification of fuzzy intuitions for Criteria-2

4.2.1 In the case of intuition I'-1

$$\begin{split} \tilde{P} & \mathrel{\circ} (\tilde{P'} \to \tilde{R}) \\ = \tilde{P} & \mathrel{\circ} (\tilde{P'} \times \tilde{R}) \end{split}$$

14

 $= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ \left(\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y) \right)$ Using (2.4) $= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ \left(\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \right)$ indent $= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \left(\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \right)$ = Contradictory intuition I'-1 not satisfied.

4.2.2 In the case of intuition I'-2

$$\begin{split} &(\tilde{P}' \to \tilde{R}) \circ \tilde{R} \\ &= (\tilde{P}' \times \tilde{R}) \circ \tilde{R} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y) \\ &\text{Using (2.4)} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y) \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y) \\ &\text{Using (2.3)} \\ &= \min\{\int \mu_{\tilde{R}'}(x), \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\} \\ &= \text{Contradictory} \\ &\text{intuition I'-2 not satisfied.} \end{split}$$

4.2.3 In the case of intuition II'-1

very $\tilde{P} \circ (\tilde{P'} \to \tilde{R})$ = very $\tilde{P} \circ (\tilde{P'} \times \tilde{R})$ = $\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)^2 \circ (\int \mu'_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y))$ Using (2.4) = $\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)^2 \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P'}}(x))$ indent = $\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)^2 \wedge (\int \mu_{\tilde{P'}}(x))$ = Contradictory intuition II'-1 not satisfied.

4.2.4 In the case of intuition II'-2 $(\tilde{P'} \to \tilde{R})$ o very \tilde{R}

 $= (\tilde{P}' \times \tilde{R}) \text{ o very } \tilde{R}$ = $(\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \text{ o } \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)^2$ Using (2.4) = $(\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)) \text{ o } \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)^2$ = $(\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)^2$ Using (2.3) = min{ $\int \mu'_{\tilde{R}}(x), \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)^2$ } = Contradictory intuition II'-2 not satisfied.

4.2.5 In the case of intuition III'-1

more or less \tilde{P} o $(\tilde{P}' \to \tilde{R})$ = more or less \tilde{P} o $(\tilde{P}' \times \tilde{R})$ = $\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)^{0.5}$ o $(\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y))$ Using (2.4) $= \int \mu_{\bar{P}}(x)^{0.5} \circ \left(\int \mu_{\bar{P}'}(x) \right)$ indent = $\int \mu_{\bar{P}}(x)^{0.5} \wedge \left(\int \mu_{\bar{P}'}(x) \right)$ = Contradictory intuition III'-1 not satisfied.

4.2.6 In the case of intuition III'-2

$$\begin{split} & (\tilde{P}' \to \tilde{R}) \text{ o more or less } \tilde{R} \\ &= (\tilde{P}' \times \tilde{R}) \text{ o more or less } \tilde{R} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \land \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \text{ o } \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)^{0.5} \\ & \text{Using (2.4)} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)) \text{ o } \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)^{0.5} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)) \land \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)^{0.5} \\ & \text{Using (2.3)} \\ &= \min\{\int \mu_{\tilde{R}'}(y), \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\} \\ &= \text{Contradictory} \\ & \text{intuition II'-2 not satisfied.} \end{split}$$

4.2.7 In the case of intuition IV'-1

not $\tilde{P} \circ (\tilde{P}' \to \tilde{R})$ $= \tilde{P}' \circ (\tilde{P}' \times \tilde{R})$ $= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \circ (\int \mu'_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y))$ Using (2.4) $= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ (\int \mu'_{\tilde{P}}(x))$ using (2.3) $= \int \mu_{\tilde{R}'}(x) \wedge (\int \mu'_{\tilde{R}}(x))$ $= \int \mu_{\tilde{R}'}(y)$ $= y \text{ is not } \tilde{\tilde{R}}$ intuition IV'-1 satisfied.

4.2.8 In the case of intuition IV'-2

 $(\tilde{P}' \to \tilde{R}) \text{ o not } \tilde{R}$ $= (\tilde{P}' \times \tilde{R}) \text{ o not } \tilde{R}$ $= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \land \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \text{ o } \int \mu'_{\tilde{R}}(y)$ Using (2.4) $= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)) \text{ o } \int \mu'_{\tilde{R}}(y)$ $= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)) \land \int \mu'_{\tilde{R}}(y)$ Using (2.3) $= \min\{\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x), \int \mu'_{\tilde{P}}(y)\}$ $= y \text{ is not } \tilde{P}$ intuition IV'-2 satisfied.

Criteria-2 is suitable for IV-1 and IV-2.

5. Fuzzy Granular Propositions with Truth Variables

Zadeh [16] defined quantification of truth variables as composition of fuzzy set and truth variables.

Definition 5.1 The quantification of fuzzy truth variables for fuzzy set of fuzzy proposition of the type "x is A is λ " is defined as $\mu_A^{-1}(x) \circ \lambda$, where $\mu_A(x)^{-1}$ is inverse of comparability function of A, "o" is composition and λ is fuzzy truth variable like true, false, very true etc.

Definition 5.2 The composition of fuzzy truth variables for "a two fold fuzzy set" of fuzzy proposition of the type "x is \tilde{A} is λ " may be defined as $\tilde{A}(x)^{\lambda} = \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)^{\lambda} = \{\mu_{A}^{True}(x), \mu_{A}^{False}(x)\} \circ \lambda$ where quantification of truth variable applied on respective truth functions. i.e.,

 $\tilde{A}(x)^{\lambda_1} = \{\mu_A(x)^{\lambda_1}, \mu_A^{False}(x)\}, \text{ where } \lambda_1 = \text{ not true, very true, more or less true e.tc.}$ For instance, $\lambda_1 = \text{very true}$ $\tilde{A}(x)^{\lambda_1} = \{\mu_A(x)^2, \mu_A^{False}(x)\}$

 $\tilde{A}(x)^{\lambda_2} = \{\mu_A(x)^{True}, \mu_A^{\mu_2}(x)\}, \text{ where } \lambda_2 = \text{ not false, very false, more or less false e.tc.}$ For instance, $\lambda_2 = \text{ more or less false}$ $\tilde{A}(x)^{\lambda_1} = \{\mu_A(x)^{True}, \mu_A^{0,2}(x)\}$

The truth functional modification of fuzzy proposition "x is \tilde{A} is very true" is given $\{\mu_A^{True}(x), \mu_A^{False}(x)\}$ o very true= $\{\mu_{veryA}^{True}(x), \mu_A^{False}(x)\}$

The truth functional modification of fuzzy proposition "*x* is \tilde{A} is very false" is given $\{\mu_A^{True}(x), \mu_A^{False}(x)\}$ o very false = $\{\mu_A^{True}(x), \mu_{veryA}^{False}(x)\}$,

The truth functional modification of fuzzy proposition "x is tall is very true" is given as

 $\begin{aligned} \tilde{tall} &= \{0.9/x_1 + 0.8/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.4/x_4 + 0.2/x_5, \\ 0.5/x_1 + 0.4/x_2 + 0.3/x_3 + 0.2/x_4 + 0.1/x_5\}, \\ very tall &= \{0.81/x_1 + 0.64/x_2 + 0.49/x_3 + 0.16/x_4 + 0.04/x_5, \\ \end{aligned}$

 $0.5/x_1 + 0.4/x_2 + 0.3/x_3 + 0.2/x_4 + 0.1/x_5$

The truth functional modification of fuzzy proposition "x is tall is very false" is given as

 $\begin{aligned} \text{tall} &= \{0.9/x_1 + 0.8/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.4/x_4 + 0.2/x_5, \\ 0.5/x_1 + 0.4/x_2 + 0.3/x_3 + 0.2/x_4 + 0.1/x_5\}, \\ \text{very tall} &= \{0.9/x_1 + 0.8/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.4/x_4 + 0.2/x_5, \\ 0.25/x_1 + 0.16/x_2 + 0.09/x_3 + 0.04/x_4 + 0.01/x_5\}. \end{aligned}$ The nested fuzzy propositions of the form

 $x \text{ is } \tilde{A} \text{ is } (\lambda_1 \text{ is } (\lambda_2 \dots \text{ is } \lambda_n)) = x \text{ is } \tilde{A} \circ \lambda_1) \circ \lambda_2 \circ \cdots \circ \lambda_n.$

Consider quantification of truth variables for fuzzy inference Type-1

If x is \tilde{P} and x is \tilde{Q} or x is \tilde{R} then y is \tilde{S} is λ x is \tilde{P}_1 and x is \tilde{Q}_1 or x is \tilde{R}_1 y is ?

The fuzzy inference is given for Type-1 using generalization and specialization

If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} is λ x is $\tilde{P_1}$ y is ? If x is \tilde{Q} then y is \tilde{S} is λ x is \tilde{Q}_1 y is ? If x is \tilde{R} then y is \tilde{S} is λ x is $\tilde{R_1}$ y is ? Confider fuzzy inference Type-2 If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{Q} else y is \tilde{R} is λ x is $\tilde{P_1}$ y is ? The fuzzy inference is given for Type-2 using generalization and specialization indent (if x is \tilde{P} then x is \tilde{Q}) is λ x is $\tilde{P_1}$ y is ? (if x is P' then x is \tilde{R}) is λ x is $\tilde{P_1}$ y is ? From fuzzy conditional inference Type-1 and Type-2, two criteria may be given as

Criteria-1 If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} is λ x is \tilde{P}_1

y is ?

Criteria-2

(if x is P' then x is \tilde{R}) is λ x is \tilde{P}_1 y is ?

The fuzzy inference is drawing a conclusion from fuzzy propositions. The fuzzy intuitions are defined based on Fukami for Criteria-1.

 $I_{\lambda} - 1$ if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} is λ x is \tilde{P} y is \tilde{S}^{λ} $I_{\lambda} - 2$ if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} is λ y is \tilde{S} x is \tilde{P}^{λ} $II_{\lambda} - 1$ if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} is λ x is very \tilde{P} y is very \tilde{S}^{λ} $II_{\lambda}-2$ if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} is λ y is very \tilde{S} x is \tilde{P}^{λ} $III_{\lambda}-1$ if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} is λ x is more or less \tilde{P} y is \tilde{S}^{λ} $I_{\lambda}-2$ if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} is λ

y is more or less \tilde{S}

x is \tilde{P}^{λ}

 $IV_{\lambda} - 1$ if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} is λ x is not \tilde{P}

y is not \tilde{S}^{λ}

 $IV_{\lambda} - 2$ if x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{S} is λ y is not \tilde{S}

x is not \tilde{P}^{λ}

The inference is given for Criteria-1 according to intuitions.

Intution	Proposition	Inference
$I_{\lambda} - 1$	x is \tilde{P} o λ	y is \tilde{S}^{λ}
$I_{\lambda} - 2$	y is \tilde{S} o λ	x is \tilde{P}^{λ}
$II_{\lambda} - 1$	x is very \tilde{P} o λ	y is very \tilde{S}^{λ}
$II_{\lambda} - 2$	y is very \tilde{S} o λ	x is \tilde{P}^{λ}
$III_{\lambda} - 1$	x is more or less \tilde{P} o λ	y is \tilde{S}^{λ}
$III_{\lambda} - 2$	y is mor or less \tilde{S} o λ	is \tilde{P}^{λ}
$IV_{\lambda} - 1$	x is not \tilde{P} o λ	y is not \tilde{S}^{λ}
$IV_{\lambda} - 2$	y is not \tilde{S} o λ	x is not \tilde{P}^{λ}

Table 1 : Fuzzy inference for Criteria-1.

Fuzzy Conditional Inference is straight forward based on verification of fuzzy intuitions for Criteria-1

Criteria-1 is suitable for $I_{\lambda} - 1, I_{\lambda} - 2, II_{\lambda} - 11, II_{\lambda} - 2, IIII_{\lambda} - 1$ and $III_{\lambda} - 2$.

The fuzzy intuitions are defined based on Fukami for Criteria-2.

 $\frac{I'_{\lambda} - 1}{\text{if } x \text{ is } \tilde{P}' \text{ then } y \text{ is } \tilde{R} \text{ is } \lambda}{x \text{ is } \tilde{P}} \\
\frac{I'_{\lambda}}{y \text{ is } \tilde{R}^{\lambda}} \\
\frac{I'_{\lambda} - 2}{y \text{ is } \tilde{R}^{\lambda}}$

if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} is λ y is \tilde{R}

x is \tilde{P}^{λ}

 $II'_{\lambda} - 1$ if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} is λ x is very \tilde{P}

y is very \tilde{R}^{λ}

 $II'_{\lambda}-2$

if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} is λ y is very \tilde{R}

x is \tilde{P}^{λ}

 $III'_{\lambda} - 1$ if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} is λ x is more or less \tilde{P}

y is \tilde{R}^{λ}

 $III;_{\lambda} - 2$ if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} is λ is more or less \tilde{R}

y is \tilde{S}^{λ}

 $IV'_{\lambda} - 1$ if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} is λ x is not \tilde{P}

y is not \tilde{R}^{λ}

 $IV'_{\lambda} - 2$ if x is P' then y is \tilde{R} is λ y is not RJS

x is not \tilde{P}^{λ}

The inference is given for Criteria-2 according to intuitions.

Intution	Proposition	Inference
$I'_{\lambda} - 1$	x is \tilde{P} o is λ	y is \tilde{R}^{λ}
$I'_{\lambda} - 2$	y is \tilde{R} o is λ	x is \tilde{P}^{λ}
$H_{\lambda} - 1$	x is very \tilde{P} o is λ	y is very \tilde{R}^{λ}
$II'_{\lambda} - 2$	y is very \tilde{R} o is λ	x is \tilde{P}
$III'_{\lambda} - 1$	x is more or less \tilde{P} o is λ	y is $ ilde{R}^{\lambda}$
$III'_{\lambda} - 2$	y is mor or less \tilde{R} o is λ	is \tilde{P}^{λ}
$HV'_{\lambda} - 1$	x is not \tilde{P} o is λ	y is not \tilde{R}^{λ}
$IV'_{\lambda} - 1$	y is not \tilde{R} o is λ	x is not \tilde{P}^{λ}

Table 2 : Fuzzy inference for Criteria-2.

Fuzzy Conditional Inference is straight forward based on verification of fuzzy intuitions for Criteria-2

Criteria-2 is suitable for $IV'_{\lambda} - 1$ and $IV'_{\lambda} - 2$.

6. Fuzzy Certainty Factor

The fuzzy certainty factor(FCF) shall made as single fuzzy membership functions with two fuzzy membership functions to eliminate the conflict of evidence between "True "and "False".

Definition 4.1 The FCF of $\mu_{\tilde{A}}$ for propositions "x is \tilde{A} " is characterized by its membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}}^{FCF}(x) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where $\mu_{\tilde{A}}^{FCF}(x) = {\mu_{A}^{Frue}(x) - \mu_{A}^{False}(x)}/x$, $\mu_{\tilde{A}}^{F}CF(x) < 0, \mu_{\tilde{A}}^{F}CF(x) = 0$ and $\mu_{\tilde{A}}^{F}CF(x) > 0$ are the redundant, insufficient and sufficient respectively.

The FCF will compute the conflict of evidence of the incomplete information. For Example $\mu_{young}^{True}(x) = \{0.9/10 + 0.8/15 + 0.69/20 + 0.59/25 + 0.5/30 + 0.42/35 + 0.36/40 + 0.31/45 + 0.26/50\}$ $\mu_{young}^{False}(x) = \{0.9/10 + 0.8/15 + 0.69/20 + 0.59/25 + 0.5/30 + 0.42/35 + 0.36/40 + 0.31/45 + 0.26/50\}$

The Graphical representation of FCF is shown in Fig. 3.



Fig.3 Fuzzy certainty factor

7. Application to New Fuzzy Conditional Inference for Fuzzy Intuitions

The Business intelligence needs reasoning. The Business data is defied with fuzziness with linguistic variables.

If *x* is Production and *x* is Supply or *x* is Demand then *y* is Profit *x* is less Production and *x* is less Supply or *x* is more Demand

y is ? If *x* is Production then *y* is Profit x is less Production

y is ? If x is Supply then y is Profit x is less Supply

y is ? If x is Demand then y is Profit x is more Demand

y is ?

 $I_{\lambda} - 1$ if *x* is *Demand* then *y* is *Profit x* is *Profit*

y is Demand

 $I_{\lambda} - 2$ if x is *Demand* then y is *Profit* x is *Demand*

y is Profit

 $II_{\lambda} - 1$

if x is *Demand* then y is *Profit* x is very *Profit*

y is very Demand

 $II_{\lambda} - 2$

if *x* is *Demand* then *y* is *Profit x* is very less *Demand*

y is very less Profit

 $III_{\lambda}-1$

if *x* is *Demand* then *y* is *Profit x* is more *Demand*

y is more Profit

 $III_{\lambda} - 2$

 $III_{\lambda} - 2$

if x is *Demand* then y is *Profit* x is more or less *Demand*

y is Profit

 $IV'_{\lambda} - 1$

if *x* is *Demand*' then *y* is *Profit x* is not *Demand*

y is not *Profit*

 $IV'_{\lambda} - 2$

if x is *Demand*' then y is *Profit* x is not *Profit*

y is not *Demand*

Consider the fuzzy data sets for production

Item No.	Denand	FCF
Item1	{0.5,0.1}	0.4
Item2	{0.6,0.1}	0.5
Item3	{0.9,0.2}	0.7
Item4	{0.9,0.1}	0.8
Item5	{1.0,0.0}	1.0

Table 3 : Fuzzy data sets.

The fuzzy conditional inference using is given by Profit=Demand

Table 3 : Fuzzy data sets.

Item No.	Profit	FCF	
Item1	{0.5,0.1}	0.4	
Item2	{0.6,0.1}	0.5	
Item3	{0.9,0.2}	0.7	
Item4	{0.9,0.1}	0.8	
Item5	{1.0,0.0}	1.0	

The fuzzy conditional inference for Criteria-1 and Criteria-2 is given as

Item No.	I-1	I-2	II-1	II-2	III-1	III-2	IV'-1	IV'-2
Item1	0.3	0.2	0.09	0.09	0.55	0.55	0.7	0.7
Item2	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.71	0.71	0.5	0.5
Item3	0.7	0.7	0.49	0.49	0.84	0.84	0.3	0.3
Item4	0.8	0.8	0.64	0.64	0.89	0.89	0.2	0.2
Item5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0

Table – 4 : Fuzzy inference.

The fuzzy intuitions are suitable for I-1,I-2, II-1, II-2, III-1, III-2, IV'-1 and IV'-2.

The Business intelligence needs reasoning. The Business data is defied with fuzziness with linguistic truth variables.

If x is Production and x is Supply or x is Demand then y is Profit is very true x is less Production and x is less Supply or x is more Demand

y is?

If *x* is Production then *y* is Profit is very true x is less Production

y is ?

If *x* is Supply then *y* is Profit is very true *x* is less Supply

y is?

If *x* is Demand then *y* is Profit is very true *x* is more Demand

y is ?

 $I_{\lambda} - 1$ if x is *Demand* then y is *Profit* is very true x is *Profit*

y is *Demand*^{very true} = $\{0.4, 0.1\}^{very true}$ = $\{0.25, 0.1\}$ FCF = $\{0.15\}$

 $I_{\lambda} - 2$ if x is *Demand* then y is *Profit* is very false x is *Demand*

y is *Profit* very false = $\{0.4, 0.1\}$ very false = $\{0.5, 0.01\}$ FCF = $\{0.49\}$

 $II_{\lambda} - 1$ if x is *Demand* then y is *Profit* is very true x is very *Profit*

y is very *Demand*^{very true} ={0.25, 0.01}^{very true} ={0.35, 0.01} FCF = {0.34}

 $II_{\lambda} - 2$ if x is *Demand* then y is *Profit* is very false

x is very less Demand

y is very less Profit^{very} false ={0.25, 0.01}more or less true ={0.25, 0.001} FCF = {0.25}

 $III_{\lambda} - 1$ if x is *Demand* then y is *Profit* is very true x is more *Demand*

y is more *Profit*^{very true} ={0.63, 0.31}^{very true} ={0.39, 0.31} FCF = {0.08}

 $III_{\lambda} - 2$ if x is *Demand* then y is *Profit* is more or less false x is more *Demand*

y is more *Profit*^{more} or less false = $\{0.63, 0.31\}^{very}$ true = $\{0.63, 0.55\}$ FCF = $\{0.08\}$

 $IV'_{\lambda} - 1$ if x is *Demand*' then y is *Profit* is very true x is not *Demand*

y is not *Profit*very true =not{0.4, 0.1}very true =not{0.16, 0.1} FCF =not {0.06} =0.94

 $IV'_{\lambda} - 2$ if x is *Demand*' then y is *Profit* is very false x is not *Profit*

```
y is not Demand<sup>very</sup> false
=not{0.4, 0.1}<sup>very</sup> false
=not{0.4, 0.01}
FCF =not {0.39}
=0.61
The fuzzy conditional inference using is given by
Profit=Demand
The fuzzy conditional inference for Criteria-1 and Criteria-2 is given as
```

Item No.	$I_{\lambda} - 1$	$I_{\lambda} - 2$	$II_{\lambda} - 1$	$II_{\lambda} - 2$	$III_{\lambda} - 1$	$III_{\lambda} - 2$	$IV'_{\lambda} - 1$	$IV'_{\lambda} - 2$
Item1	0.15	0.49	0.34	0.25	0.08	0.08	0.94	0.61
Item2	0.26	0.59	0.45	0.77	0.28	0.22	0.65	0.41
Item3	0.61	0.76	0.81	0.94	0.59	0.74	0.21	0.14
Item4	0.71	0.89	0.84	0.94	0.62	0.85	0.20	0.11
Item5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0

Table – 5 : Fuzzy inference.

The fuzzy intuitions are suitable for $I_{\lambda} - 1$, $I_{\lambda} - 2$, $II_{\lambda} - 1$, $II_{\lambda} - 2$, $III_{\lambda} - 1$, $III_{\lambda} - 2$, $IIII_{\lambda} - 2$, $IIII_{\lambda} - 2$,

8. Conclusion

Fukami studied fuzzy intuitions based on Godel and Standard sequence methods. some more intuitions are studied with proposed method. The fuzzy set with the two fold fuzzy membership function will give more evidence than a single fuzzy membership one. The fuzzy logic with two fold fuzzy membership function is discussed. The fuzzy intuitions are discussed using to fold fuzzy sets. The fuzzy Inference and fuzzy reasoning are studied for "a two fold fuzzy sets". The FCF is studied as the difference between the two fuzzy membership functions. The fuzzy Certainty Factor is made as a single fuzzy membership function to compute the conflict of evidence of the Incomplete Information. The fuzzy intuition with truth variables are studied for "a two fold fuzzy set". The fuzzy granular propositions may be proved in similar lines. The business intelligence is discussed as application for "a two fold fuzzy set" for fuzzy intuitions.

Acknowledgments

The Author would like to thank Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati authorities for providing facilities to carry out this woe..

References

- [1] P. Den Hamar, The Organization of Business Intelligence, PDU Publishers, 2005.
- [2] E.H. Mamdani, Application of Fuzzy Logic to Approximate Reasoning Using Linguistic Synthesis. IEEE Trans. Computers 26(12)(1977) 1182-1191.
- [3] R. E.H. Mamdani, Fuzzy t-conorm integral with respect to fuzzy measures: Generalization of Sugeno integral and Choquet integral, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 1 5 (1991) 57-71.
- [4] T. Tagagi, M. Sugeno, Derivation of Fuzzy Control Rules from Human Operator's Control Actions 16v13 (1983) 55-56.
- [5] M. Sugeno, G.T. Kang, Structure identification of fuzzy model, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 28 (1988) 15-33.
- [6] S. Fukami, M. Muzumoto, K. Tanaka, Some Considerationas on Fuzzy Conditional Inference, Fuzzy Seta and Systems, 4 (1980) 243-273.

- [7] [11] REN Ping, "Generalized Fuzzy Sets and Representation of Incomplete Knowledge", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 36 (1990) 91-96.
- [8] Poli Venkata Subba Reddy, Fuzzy logic based on Belief and Disbelief membership functions, Fuzzy Information and Engineering 9 (4) (2018) 405-422.
- [10] Poli Venkata Subba Reddy, Generalized fuzzy logic for incomplete information, 2013 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE), IEEE Xplore, 1-6 (2013).
- [11] Poli Venkata Subba Reddy, M. Syam Babu, Some methods of reasoning for conditional propositions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 52 (3) (1992) 229-250.
- [12] Poli Venkata Subba Reddy, Generalized fuzzy sets in various situations for incomplete knowledge, Proceedings, First International Conference on Fuzzy Theory and Technology, Durham, USA, FT&T'92, 1 (1992) 183-185
- [13] Poli Venkata Subba Reddy, Fuzzy conditional inference for medical diagnosis, Proceedings, Second International Conference on Fuzzy Theory and Technology, Durham, FT&T'93, 3 (1993) 193-195.
- [14] P. Venkata Subba Reddy, Distributed automated fuzzy reasoning system, Proceedings, Third International Conference on Fuzzy Theory and Technology, Durham, USA, FT&T'94, 4 (1994) 161-164.
- [15] L. A Zadeh, "Calculus of fuzzy Restrictions", In Fuzzy set s and their Applications to Cognitive and Decision Processes, L. A. Zadeh, King-Sun FU, Kokichi Tanaka and Masamich Shimura (Eds.), Academic Press, New York, (1975) 1-40..
- [16] L.A. Zadeh, Discussion: Probability theory and fuzzy logic are complementary rather than computative, Technometrics 37:3 (1985) 271-276.
- [17] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets. Information and Control 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [18] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets and Information Granularity, Selected Papers by L.A.Zadeh, (1979) 433-448.
- [19] L.A. Zadeh, Generalized theory of uncertainty (GTU) principal concepts and ideas, Computational Statistics and Data Analysis 51 (2006) 15-46.