

COVID-19 Diagnosis by 5-Second Facial Video Processing Using Vibraimage and Artificial Intelligence

Viktor Minkin and Valery Akimov

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

September 25, 2021

COVID-19 Diagnosis by 5-second Facial Video Processing Using Vibraimage and Artificial Intelligence

Viktor A. Minkin, Valery A. Akimov Elsys Corp, 194223, St. Petersburg, Russia, email: minkin@elsys.ru

The Covid-19 pandemic spreads in waves for a year and a half, despite significant worldwide efforts, the development of biochemical diagnostic methods and population vaccination. One of the reasons for the infection spread is the impossibility of early disease detection through biochemical diagnostics, since biochemical processes slowly develop in a body. At the same time, well known that behavioral characteristics of a person, measured based on reflex movements, are capable for inertialess assessment of psychophysiological parameters. Vibraimage technology is the method of head micromovements video processing by inter-frame difference accumulation and converting spatial and temporal characteristics of the inter-frame difference into behavioral and psychophysiological parameters. Here we shown that behavioral parameters measured by vibraimage changed during COVID-19 infection. The identification of changes signs in behavioral parameters detected by AI trained on patients and controls. The best diagnostic accuracy (higher 94%) obtained using instantaneous values of behavioral parameters measured with the following vibraimage settings: 10Hz frequency of basic measurements; 25 inter-frame difference accumulations and averaging the diagnostic results over period of at least 5 seconds. COVID-19 diagnoses by behavioral parameters showed earlier (5-7 days) detection of the disease compared to symptoms and positive results of biochemical RT-PCR testing. Proposed method for COVID-19 diagnosis indicates infected persons within 5 seconds video processing using standard television cameras (web, IP) and computers, allows mass testing/selftesting and will stop the pandemic spread. We assume that head micromovements analysis for diagnosis of various diseases is possible not only with the help of vibraimage technology. Further research of human head micromovement analysis will help stop the COVID-19 pandemic and will contribute to the development of new contactless and environmentally friendly methods for early diagnosis of diseases.

Keywords. COVID-19, vibraimage, behavioral parameters, diagnosis accuracy, ANN, AI.

Many outstanding scientists of the past were convinced that reflex movements carried information about the psychophysiological parameters of a person. Charles Darwin¹ argued that facial expressions developed in the process of evolution and determined by the emotional state of a person. Ivan Sechenov² claimed that every thought has muscular manifestation. Sigmund Freud³ wrote that a person does not have random movements, and every movement is informative. Ivan Pavlov⁴ assumed that the balance of inhibition and excitation determines physiological processes. Nikolai Bernstein⁵ discovered that human movements are discrete and determined by feedback. Norbert Wiener⁶ suggested using feedback as the basic principle not only of human movements, but also of any physiological process. Mira y Lopez⁷ proposed the method for assessing emotional state and pathological changes based on the registration of muscle movements. Konrad Lorenz⁸ calculated the level of aggression using the frequency of reflex movements of animals and humans. To transfer the accumulated knowledge of reflex movement into practical fields of emotions recognition and medical diagnosis, only the technical capabilities of the 20th century were lacking.

The situation changed with vibraimage technology development^{9,10}, which made possible to transform reflex micromovements of a human head into behavioral parameters^{10,11}. Human head movement is comprehensive process and information about head movements could be apply in different areas^{12,13}. Biometric standard¹⁴ divide all biometric characteristics into biological and behavioral, naturally, referring the characteristics of movement to behavioral parameters. The technical basis of vibraimage technology is accumulation of inter-frame difference⁹. The number of consecutive frames (N) and frequency (f), which are used to accumulate the inter-frame difference, determine the minimum period T=N/f for psychophysiological information integration about a person. For various psychophysiological parameters measuring, N and f settings are changed,

correspondently, to detect fast responses, the minimum value of N and the maximum value of f are set. The most frequently used vibraimage settings for emotional state measurement are N=100; f=5Hz, which determines the period of information about the psychophysiological state integration $T=20s^{11}$. These standard settings (N=100; f=5Hz) were used in the first versions of the COVID-19 diagnostic vibraimage programs $\frac{15,16}{10}$, since head micromovements capturing was done by existing $programs^{15}$. The standard approach on psychophysiological parameters measurement using vibraimage technology focused on the averaged values of behavioral parameters, because this increased the accuracy of emotional states measurements¹⁷. Averaged values eliminated the influence of chronobiological processes $\frac{18,19,20}{10}$ and increased the stability of behavioral parameters measured as physical quantities based on developed equations¹¹. Using vibraimage technology and trained artificial neural networks (ANN) was possible to achieve almost 100% discrimination accuracy in patients and controls database $\frac{15,16,21}{10}$. However, COVID-19 diagnosis results calculated by averaged vibraimage parameters processing for a random sample showed the accuracy of COVID-19 diagnostics about 80%, which is comparable to the RT-PCR accuracy of COVID-19 diagnostics²², but not enough for the mass application of new COVID-19 non-contact diagnostics technology due to the mistrust of specialists and low accuracy.

The purpose of this study was to develop contactless COVID-19 diagnosis method with minimum testing time by video image processing of human head movements (facial video) with at least 90% accuracy.

Materials and methods

Preliminary study materials and methods. Data 547,039 measurements of 40 behavioral parameters instantaneous values¹¹ obtained by vibraimage programs MED, HT and PRO¹⁵ with vibraimage settings (N=100; f=5Hz) included:

a) patients with confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19. The total number of results in the COVID-19 patient database was 211,064 measurements of 40 behavioral parameters instantaneous values (BPIV).

b) controls including healthy and sick people with a confirmed absence of COVID-19 disease. The total number of results in controls was 335,975 measurements of 40 BPIV.

Total BPIV database of preliminary study with the division into groups are in supplementary data (file DB_100).

The measurement results of controls and patients divided into two groups: learning and testing. The measurement results of the learning group were used to train ANN and the measurement results in the testing group used for testing of diagnosis accuracy. It is the standard approach for ANN learning and testing, because testing must be dome on independent from learning group results. The size of groups for ANN learning was approximately the same and included 180,753 BPIV for controls and 181,392 BPIV for patients. The remaining measurement results placed, respectively, on testing groups of patients 29,672 BPIV and controls 155,222 BPIV. The structure of used database shown on Fig. 1. More data about groups shown in Table 1.



Figure 1. BPIV database structure used for AI learning and diagnosis accuracy testing. Preliminary study database size given without (). Basic study database size given in ().

AI learning was carried out by setting 0 to the diagnostic coefficient (DIC) value calculated from the BPIV of controls without COVID-19, and setting 1 to the DIC calculated from the BPIV of the patients with a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19. AI learning used linear three-layer feedforward ANN structure, described in detail in our earlier article¹⁶, and used to diagnose COVID-19 based on averaged values of behavioral parameters. AI learning process in 40x80x60x1 ANN was carried out using the standard optimization algorithms ADAM and Nesterov^{23,24} by authors code.

BPIV were captured using standard webcams (Microsoft LifeCam Cinema, LifeCam Studio, HD_WebCam from Acer Aspire E5-575G), Windows 10 OS and IntelCore i5; i7 processors. Head resolution in horizontal line was not less 200 pixels. Video Quality¹⁷ captured by vibraimage programs was higher 50%. Camera placing was usually set in front of 0.5 m to sitting person¹³, however some measurements were done by investigation of standing person because behaviors parameters measured by vibraimage programs are not sensitive to body position¹¹.

Patients includes 37 subjects, Russian citizens (Moscow, St. Petersburg), age from 20 till 82 years, male/female ratio 54/46. Testing were done from April 2020 to June 2021.

Controls includes 122 subjects, Russian citizens (Moscow, St. Petersburg), age from 20 till 95 years, male/female ratio 53/47. Testing were done from January 2008 to June 2021.

Basic study materials and methods. Basic study used data from recorded video files of a person's face, duration of 180-210 seconds. Then the video files were converted by VibraHT program into digital data of 155,933 BPIV for 40 behavioral parameters¹¹ with settings (N=25; f=10Hz) for:

a) patients with a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19. The total number of measurement results in the available COVID-19 patient database was 81,343 BPIV;

b) controls including healthy and sick people with a confirmed absence of COVID-19 disease. The total number of measurement results in controls was 74,590 BPIV.

BPIV measurement results of controls and patients were divided into two groups: learning and testing analogical to preliminary study. The measurement results of learning group were used to ANN learning, and the measurement results in testing group were used to validate ANN accuracy during testing procedure. The group sizes for ANN learning was set approximately the same and amounted 58,435 for controls and 59,482 for the patients. The remaining measurement results placed for testing of patients and controls, respectively. The structure of the database shown in Fig. 1. Data about measurements in groups during the basic study shown in Table 1.

Total BPIV database of basic study with the division into groups are in supplementary data (file DB_025).

The combined data BPIV of 40 behavioral during the preliminary and basic studies shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The number of BPIV measurement results in database during preliminary and basic studies. RL – BPIV data of controls during ANN learning; PL – BPIV data of patients during ANN learning; RT – BPIV data on controls during accuracy testing; PT – BPIV data of patients during accuracy testing. NRL – number of behavior parameters measurements in RL group; NPL – number of behavior parameters measurements in PL group; NRT – number of behavior parameters measurements in RT group; NPT – number of behavior parameters measurements in PT group.

measurements in ter group, it i manoer of cenavior parameters measurements in i i group							0		
Study stage	Total BPIV	RL	PL	RT	PT	NRL	NPL	NRT	NPT
Preliminary N=100; f=5 Hz	547,039	180,753	181,392	155,222	29,672	320	377	342	62
Basic N=25; f=10 Hz	155,933	58,435	59,482	16,155	21,861	47	38	11	14

Data amount from controls and patients were approximately the same for AI learning to determine the DIC threshold value 0.5. For the testing groups, was data amount difference between patients and controls due to the limited availability of COVID-19 patients.

Patients includes 5 subjects, Russian citizens (St. Petersburg), age from 20 till 42 years, male/female ratio 80/20. Patients video recording were done from January 2021 to July 2021.

Controls includes 28 subjects, Russian citizens (Moscow, St. Petersburg), age from 20 till 95 years, male/female ratio 64/36. Controls video recording were done from January 2008 to July 2021.

Results

Preliminary results. Figure 2 shows probability density function of COVID-19 DIC, calculated by Excel means using DIC values from databases of patients and controls testing for the different periods of DIC averaging. Starting from zero (0) averaging time to 20 seconds DIC averaging.



Figure 2. COVID-19 DIC probability density function calculated by trained AI for testing databases of patients and controls on preliminary study. $Avg0_0 - controls$ DIC without averaging; $avg0_1$ -patients DIC without averaging; $avg5_0 - controls$ DIC with 5 second averaging; $avg2_0 - controls$ DIC with 5 second averaging; $avg2_0 - controls$ DIC with 20 second averaging; $avg2_0 - controls$ DIC with 20 second averaging.

From the graphs presented in Fig. 2, follows that the increase DIC averaging time to 20 second gives short range (0.03-0.19) without false negative errors on avg20_1 curve.

The results of the accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of diagnosing COVID-19 using a trained AI for verification databases of patients and controls for different time DIC averaging (0; 5; 10; 20; 30; 40; 60 seconds) shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Preliminary study accuracy (A), sensitivity (Sen), specificity (Spe), and errors (false-positive-FPR; false-negative-FNR) of testing database COVID-19 diagnostics for patients and controls with different DIC averaging times (0; 5; 10; 20; 30; 40; 60 seconds).

DIC averaging period, s Accuracy & errors	0	1	5	10	20	30	40	60
A(Test), %	82.2	83.45	85.87	88.59	90.10	90.43	90.44	90.51
A(Learn),%	86.69	88.69	90.99	92.14	93.52	93.69	93.93	94.33
Sen,%	78.84	79.26	81.91	84.95	86.94	87.58	87.38	87.41
Spe,%	83.52	84.26	86.63	89.29	90.71	90.98	91.03	91.12
FPR,%	16.48	15.74	13.37	10.71	9.29	9.02	8.97	8.88
FNR,%	21.16	20.74	18.09	15.05	13.06	12.42	12.62	12.59

ROC sensitivity-specificity dependence $\frac{25,26}{10}$ from the preliminary study shown in Fig. 3.



Figure 3. ROC dependence of sensitivity-specificity on the preliminary study for different periods (in seconds) of DIC averaging.

Sensitivity and specificity calculations performed using Excel tools, given at supplementary data. File Sensitivity_specificity_N100

Basic results. Figure 4 shows the probability density function of COVID-19 DIC received on basic study, calculated by Excel means using DIC values from databases of patients and controls testing groups for the different periods of DIC averaging. Starting from 0 averaging period to 20 seconds DIC averaging.



Figure 4. COVID-19 DIC probability density function calculated by trained AI for testing databases of patients and controls on basic study. $Avg0_0$ – controls DIC without averaging; $avg0_1$ – patients DIC without averaging; $avg5_0$ – controls DIC with 5 second averaging; $avg20_0$ – controls DIC with 20 second averaging; $avg20_1$ – patients DIC with 20 second averaging.

The results shown in Fig. 4 differ from the results obtained in the preliminary study (Fig. 2). If the distribution densities of DIC for BPIV (0 averaging) are approximately similar for the preliminary and basic study, however 20-second averaging of DIC of the basic study zeroes out all errors for the ranges 0-0.5 and 0.7-1.0.

The results of the accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of diagnosing COVID-19 using trained AI for testing databases of patients and controls (basic study) for the different times of DIC averaging (0; 5; 10; 20; 30; 40; 60 seconds) shown in Table 3.

DIC averaging period, s Accuracy & errors	0	1	5	10	20	30	40	60
A(Test), %	85.64	90.62	94.69	96.69	97.74	98.04	98.22	97.92
A(Learn), %	89.71	95.52	98.60	98.86	99.15	99.29	99.46	99.68
Sen, %	90.59	95.13	97.59	98.74	99.70	99.67	99.64	99.57
Spe, %	78.95	84.52	90.75	93.90	95.08	95.79	96.25	95.60
FPR, %	21.05	15.48	9.25	6.10	4.92	4.21	3.75	4.40
FNR, %	9.41	4.87	2.41	1.26	0.30	0.33	0.36	0.43

Table 3. Basic study accuracy (A), sensitivity (Sen), specificity (Spe), and errors (false-positive-FPR; false-negative-FNR) of testing database COVID-19 diagnostics for patients and controls with different DIC averaging period (0; 5; 10; 20; 30; 40; 60 seconds).

ROC sensitivity-specificity dependence $\frac{25,26}{10}$ for different DIC averaging period calculated on basic study shown on Fig. 5.



Figure 5. Dependence of sensitivity-specificity on the basic study for different periods (in seconds) of DIC averaging.

Sensitivity and specificity calculations performed using Excel tools, given at supplementary data. File Sensitivity_specificity_N25

Discussion

Given results indicate that COVID-19 diagnosis by the analysis of head micromovements is possible, and the accuracy of the diagnosis depends on the movement analysis settings. Comparative accuracy characteristics of two diagnostic options with different vibraimage settings for the movements analysis (N=100; f=5Hz; T=20s – preliminary study and N=25; f=10Hz; T=2.5s – basic study) shown in Fig. 6.



Figure 6. DIC accuracy (Testing) dependence from the discrimination accuracy of learning database (Learning). Data for micromotion analysis settings during the preliminary (N=100) and basic (N=25) studies without DIC averaging.

The results obtained on the preliminary study, namely the weak dependence of the diagnostic accuracy on the averaging period, allowed to assume that the decrease in accumulation time of head movements analysis with the higher analysis frequency can increase diagnosis accuracy, that confirmed Fig.6.

It is necessary to clarify that the results shown in Fig. 6 were obtained by various sizes databases processing (Table 1), and this difference cannot be eliminated, since the BPIV measuring by vibraimage with one settings could not be transfer to BPIV with other vibraimage settings. So the results of behavioral parameters measurements obtained in a preliminary study with settings (N=100) cannot be transferred to other settings (N=25), only same video can be used for analysis with different vibraimage settings. But even the same video base of patients and controls, used for processing during the basic study, does not allow achieving identity of databases size for different vibraimage settings, since the size of the resulting databases depends on the integration time of behavioral parameters.

It is interesting to note that the diagnostic accuracy on the left side of the graph (Fig. 6) linelly increases with an increase in the discrimination accuracy of the trained database from 80 to 90%. At the same time, AI overtraining occurs earlier for the longer integration period (N=100, T=20s) at the level of 87% of the discrimination accuracy of the training base. For the shorter period (N=25, T=2,5s) – at the level of 90%. This paradoxical result becomes from the fact that chronobiological processes^{20,27} have not changes during COVID-19, and their presence in BPIV only reduces the accuracy of the disease diagnosis. We came to this conclusion because were unable to use the temporal dependences of behavioral parameters for COVID-19 diagnosis, the diagnostic accuracy using temporal data did not exceed 60% with a larger volume of input data.

Figure 7 shows COVID-19 diagnosis accuracy dependences for preliminary (N=100) and basic (N=25) studies from DIC averaging time.



Figure 7. Dependences of COVID-19 diagnosis accuracy (A, Test) from DIC averaging period for preliminary (N=100) and basic (N=25) studies.

According to the basic testing, the diagnostic accuracy of COVID-19 is 94.69% with a fivesecond averaging of DIC and then slightly increases to 98.22% with a 40-second averaging of DIC. Averaging gives lower random errors however have not influence to systematic and methodological errors rates.

Comparative characteristics of diagnostic errors shown in Fig. 8.



Figure 8. Dependences of DIC errors from averaging period for preliminary (N=100) and basic (N=25) studies. FPR is the probability of false positive diagnostic errors. FNR is the probability of false negative diagnostic errors.

The false negative errors (FNR) of diagnostics in Fig. 9 do not exceed 2.5% after a five-second DIC averaging for said database. In our opinion, false negative errors are the most unpleasant for patients testing with an infectious disease, since it implies the recognition of a sick patient as healthy and the possibility of further infection distribution from a missed patient. The minimum false-negative error is observed after 20-second DIC averaging is 0.3%, is significantly lower than false negative error for RT-PCR testing of with COVID-19 patients, which could be 20% according to available data^{22,28,30}.

Discussion

We foresee the main arguments against the proposed diagnosis method from opponents in the form of the following main remarks, which we have repeatedly heard:

1. The results of the basic study were obtained on a small number of patients with the confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19.

2. The article does not provide a clear relationship between COVID-19 and human head micromovements parameters.

We reply our considerations to the obvious remarks.

First, about the amount of analyzed data. Human behavioral parameters are somewhat different in nature from the biological parameters to which most medical and scientific specialists are accustomed. The biological and biochemical characteristics of a person are highly stable, of course, they also change over time, and each subsequent biochemical analysis taken from the same patient will only slightly differ from the previous one. The variability of human behavioral parameters is significantly higher than the variability of biological parameters. The presence of chronobiological processes has a noticeable effect on behavioral parameters, the mood and behavior of each person depends on many external and internal factors $\frac{18,20,27}{18}$. The behavioral parameters of a person change him every second, it is not for nothing that Heraclitus said that you cannot step into the same river twice³¹. Therefore, several measurements of BPIV for one person in terms of information content are identical to the same number of BPIV measurements of several people. Consequently, the informativeness of given behavioral parameters is determined not by the number of measured patients, but by the total number of BPIV measurements. BPIV data presented in this study exceeds the most part of statistical studies with a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19^{32,33}. Most of the medical studies on COVID-19 diagnosis based on several hundred analysis data^{32,33,34}, while the preliminary study includes data from more than 500,000 measurements of 40 behavioral parameters, and the basic study more than 150,000 measurements of 40 behavioral parameters. Of course, an increase in the amount of data (relative to presented results) may lead to some change in the accuracy of diagnostics, but these changes are unlikely to be of a fundamental nature. Futhermore the accuracy for increased

BPIV database would be higher than shown results because as we tested decrising database gives lower diagnosis accuracy. Most likely, the accuracy of diagnostics could be affected by the limited use of television cameras when video recording and measurements. To avoid the influence of overtraining and insignificant details, the discrimination accuracy of Learning daatabase was limited to 90% for the development of COVID-19 diagnosis program Covid5s. The graph Fig. 7 shows the correctness of this approach, since overtraining of ANN and tuning for minor details when discriminating against the trained database increases the discrimination accuracy, but decreases the diagnostic (testing) accuracy for an independent test database. Therefore, a remark on the small number of patients studied with a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 is not essential precisely for the method with the measurement of BPIV. Large number of measured independent behavioral parameters (40) and hundreds of thousands of BPIV measurement results allow AI to find stable differences in the relationships between the behavioral parameters of patients with COVID-19 and controls represented by healthy and sick people with other diseases (flu, heart-vascular, oncology). The total number of connection coefficients between BPIV after AI learning with the used ANN configuration is 8201. So based on having results we suppose that increased database will only improved diagnostic accuracy.

Next for the connection between the COVID-19 disease and the characteristics of human head micromovements. Since the development of vibraimage technology², we have tried to understand the reason for the dependence of head micromovement parameters on the psychophysiological state of a person. Initially, we assumed thermodynamic equilibrium and human thermodynamics as the main mechanism for the relationship between these phenomena $\frac{35}{5}$. Then it was hypothesized about the vestibular-emotional reflex linking psychophysiological state and head micromovement³⁶. Despite the fact that, in our opinion, it is not so important to find an unambiguous mechanism of this connection, it is more important to statistically reliably confirm its existence, we will make one more assumption substantiating the physical dependence between the described phenomena. One of physical laws, which is a consequence of general relativity theory (the principle of causality), claims that two physical phenomena can be interrelated if one of them happened after the other. Roger Penrose, in a number of publications with physisical approach to conciousnes, has proposed the theory using Orch-OR (orchestrated objective reduction) quantum gravity $\frac{37,38}{10}$. In the beginning, it seems that general relativity and general gravity are far enough away from the micromovements of a head. However, this is not quite true. The vestibular-emotional reflex consists in maintaining the vertical position of the head due to the contraction of the cervical muscles under the constant influence of the Earth's gravitational field. In space, in the absence of gravity, the vestibular-emotional reflex will not work, since in the absence of gravity, the meaning of muscular regulation of vertical head support is lost. Perhaps, as Penrose suggests, there are gravitational mechanisms of information exchange in the human body and, if this is true, then the vestibular system will be the first to react to gravitational signals. Note that one of the critics of Penrose's Orch-OR gravitational theory, artificial intelligence specialist Marvin Minsky³⁹, would have been upset if this AI-assisted study was interpreted as a confirmation of Penrose's Orch-OR gravitational theory. However we do not see contradictions in the approach of Penrose and Minsky. Science is constantly evolving and it is quite possible that later new mechanisms of information exchange in the human body will be discovered. In any case, hardly everyone was wrong – Sechenov, Darwin, Pavlov, Bernstein, Wiener, Mira y Lopez and Lorenz, when they asserted the informativeness of reflex movements. Most likely, opponents of vibraimage technology are mistaken, who do not understand how the micromovements of human head and changes in the psychophysiological state can be connected. Not understanding of process could not delete it.

The human head micromovements are sensitive to any biophysical changes in the human body, they are practically inertia-free respond to changes in the emotional state when detecting a lie⁴⁰ or responding to stimuli⁴¹. The prototype vibraimage system for diagnosing COVID-19 was used at Elsys, St.Petersburg, Russia for pre-shift control of employees since July 2020. During this time, 2 employees with COVID-19 were identified, and COVID-19 detection occurred 5 and 7 days before the onset of symptoms of the disease and a positive RT-PCR test result. Moreover, in the identified cases, on the same day after receiving a positive result of the COVID-19 diagnosis using vibraimaging

technology, the employees were sent for RT-PCR analysis, which gave a false negative result. The employees were sent to quarantine and only after 5, 7 days they received a positive RT-PCR test result. This means COVID-19 diagnosis using the analysis of the human head micromovements makes possible to detect the disease much earlier than traditional biochemical testing methods. From physical point of view to diagnosis process, this is quite understandable, since reflex movements are inertialless and, almost instantly, transfer a change in the psychophysiological state to changes in movement. While any biochemical process goes through several stages in its development^{42,43}.

Conclusion

It seems to us that the described method of COVID-19 diagnosing, despite its seeming fantasy and simplicity, is based on a scientific approach to the analysis of reflex movements, statistically confirmed and has proven its practical feasibility. Moreover, we admit the possibility of improving the presented results of COVID-19 diagnostics accuracy by increasing the existing database and additional tuning of AI learning and diagnostics algorithms. Video processing of head movement is not limited by PC processing, the next obvious step is transferring this technology to mobile phone platforms.

The source databases used to process the diagnostic results and BPIV publicly available, allows independent developers to develop their algorithms for diagnosing COVID-19 based on different methods.

We also believe that the informativeness of human head reflex micromovements is not limited to the diagnosis of COVID-19. We will be glad to see published results of independent research in this direction. Of course, we are open to cooperation, since the simplicity and availability of video processing method opens broad prospects for its mass application and should prevent the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic. 5-second live video COVID-19 testing could replace covid and vaccine passports and other problems because real time COVID-19 diagnosis gives more health guarantee than formal documents.

Data and code availability

The summarized data generated during the current study are available at <u>https://psymaker.com/downloads/NN2.zip</u>

The program Covid5s for COVID-19 diagnosis by behavioral parameters measurement is available at <u>https://psymaker.com/downloads/setupCovid5s.exe</u>

The manual for Covid5s program is available at <u>https://psymaker.com/downloads/COVID5S.pdf</u>

The custom codes used in this study for the behavioral parameters discrimination and/or activation code for Covid5s program are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- 1. Darwin, C. The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals. John Murray, London (1872).
- 2. Sechenov, I. Reflexes of the Brain. MIT Press. (1863), (1965).
- 3. Freud, S. *The Interpretation of Dreams, Science Odyssey: People and Discoveries*. PBS. (1900), 1998.
- 4. Pavlov, I.P. Conditioned Reflexes: An Investigation of the Physiological Activity of the Cerebral Cortex. Oxford University Press, London (1927).
- 5. Bernstein, N.A. *The Co-Ordination and Regulation of Movements*. Pergamon Press, Oxford (1967).
- 6. Wiener, N. *Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*. MIT Press, Paris (1948), 2nd revised ed. 1961.
- 7. Mira y Lopez, E. Psychodiagnostico Miokinetiko. Paidos, Buenos Aires (1957).
- 8. Lorenz, K. *Das Sogenannte Böse zur Naturgeschichte der Aggression*. Original edition: Verlag Dr. G Borotha-Schoeler (1963).

- 9. Minkin, V.A. & Shtam, A.I. Method and device for image transformation (based RU2187904 (2000)) US7346227. PCT Pub. Date: Jun. 27, 2002.
- 10. Minkin, V.A. *Vibraimage*. Renome, St. Petersburg (2017). https://doi.org/10.25696/ELSYS.B.EN.VI.2017
- 11. Minkin, V. Vibraimage, Cybernetics and Emotions. Renome, St. Petersburg (2020). https://doi.org/10.25696/ELSYS.B.EN.VCE.2020
- Hausamann, P., Sinnott, C.B., Daumer, M. & MacNeilage, P.R. Evaluation of the intel RealSense T265 for tracking natural human head motion. *Nature Scientific Reports*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91861-5</u> (2021).
- 13. Behnke, M., Bianchi-Berthouze, N. & Kaczmarek, L.D. Head movement differs for positive and negative emotions in video recordings of sitting individuals. *Nature Scientific Reports*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86841-8</u> (2021).
- 14. ISO/IEC 2382-37:2017 Information Technology, Vocabulary, Part 37: Biometrics. (2017).
- Minkin, V.A. et al. COVID-19 diagnosis by artificial intelligence based on vibraimage measurement of behavioral parameters. *Journal of Behavioral and Brain Science*, **10**, 590-603. https://doi.org/10.4236/jbbs.2020.1012037 (2020).
- Akimov, V.A. & Minkin, V.A. Determination of significant behavioral parameters on COVID-19 diagnosis by artificial Neural Networks modeling. Proceedings of the 4th International Open Science Conference: Modern Psychology. The Vibraimage Technology, June 2021, St. Petersburg, Russia. <u>https://doi.org/10.25696/ELSYS.VC4.EN.06</u> (2021).
- Minkin, V.A. On the accuracy of vibraimage technology. Proceedings of the 2nd International Open Science Conference: Modern Psychology. The Vibraimage Technology (English Edition), June, St. Petersburg, Russia, 212–223. <u>https://doi.org/10.25696/ELSYS.VC2.EN.14</u> (2019).
- 18. Halberg, F. Perspectives of chronobiologic engineering. NATO ASI Series, 120, 1-46 (1987).
- 19. Blank, M.A. & Blank, O.A. *Chronobiomedicine for Oncology*. Nika, St. Petersburg (In Russ.) (2010).
- Minkin, V.A. & Blank, M.A. Psychophysiology and homeokinesis. Synchronization of stimuli presentation to chronobiological processes. Proceedings of the 4th International Open Science Conference: Modern Psychophysiology. The Vibraimage Technology. June 2021, St. Petersburg, Russia, 269-280. <u>https://doi.org/10.25696/ELSYS.VC4.EN.05</u> (2021).
- Minkin, V.A. & Kosenkov, A.A. Behavioral parameters as COVID-19 signs. New opportunities and old problems of medical diagnostics. Proceedings of the 4th International Open Science Conference: Modern Psychology. The Vibraimage Technology, June 2021, St. Petersburg, Russia, 292-305. <u>https://doi.org/10.25696/ELSYS.VC4.EN.07</u> (2021).
- 22. Gupta-Wright, A. et al. False-negative RT-PCR for COVID-19 and a diagnostic risk score: a retrospective cohort study among patients admitted to hospital. *BMJ Open*, **11**(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-047110</u> (2021).
- 23. Nesterov, Yu.E. A method of solving programing problem with convergence Rate $0(1/k^2)$. Soviet Math. Dokl. **27**(2), (1983).
- 24. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y. & Courville, A. Deep learning. MIT (2017).
- 25. Fawcett, T. An introduction to ROC analysis. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 27, 861–874. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2005.10.010 (2006).
- 26. Zhu, W., Zeng, N. & Wang, N. Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, associated confidence interval and ROC analysis with practical SAS® implementations. *Health Care and Life Sciences, NESUG* (2010).
- Minkin, V.A. & Blank, M.A. Psychophysiological formation of the period of brain activity. Proceedings of the 2nd International Open Science Conference: Modern Psychophysiology. The Vibraimage Technology. June 2019, St. Petersburg, Russia, 232–239. <u>https://doi.org/10.25696/ELSYS.VC2.EN.6</u> (2019).

- 28. Wikramaratna, P.S., Paton, R.S., Ghafarl, M. & Lourenco, J. Estimating the false-negative test probability of SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR. *Euro Surveill*. **25**(50). <u>https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.50.2000568</u> (2020).
- 29. Bhattacharyya, R. et al. Incorporating false negative tests in epidemiological models for SARS -CoV-2 transmission and reconciling with seroprevalence estimates. *Nature Scientific Reports*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-89127-1</u> (2021).
- 30. Lascarrou, J.B. et al. Predictors of negative first SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR despite finaldiagnosis

of COVID-19 and association with outcome. *Nature Scientific Reports*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82192-6 (2021).

- 31. Davenport, G. Herakleitos and Diogenes. Bolinas, Calif.: Grey Fox Press (1979).
- 32. El-Rashidy, N. et al. Comprehensive survey of using machine learning in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Diagnostics*, **11**(7). <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics11071155</u> (2021).
- 33. Wang, G. et al. A deep-learning pipeline for the diagnosis and discrimination of viral, nonviral and COVID-19 pneumonia from chest X-ray images. *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, **5**, 509–521. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-021-00704-1</u> (2021).
- 34. Laguarta, J., Hueto, F. & Subirana, B. COVID-19 artificial intelligence diagnosis using only cough recordings. *IEEE Open Journal of Engineering in Medicine and Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1109/OJEMB.2020.3026928 (2020).
- 35. Gladyshev, G.P. The hierarchical equilibrium thermodynamics of living systems in action. N.N. Semenov Institute of Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences Russia. Moscow (2014).
- 36. Minkin, V.A. & Nikolaenko, N.N. Application of vibraimage technology and system for analysis of motor activity and study of functional state of the human body. *Biomedical Engineering* 42(4), 196-200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10527-008-9045-9</u> (2008).
- 37. Penrose, R. Shadows of the Mind. Oxford University Press (1994).
- 38. Hameroff, S. & Penrose, R. Consciousness in the universe. A review of the OrchOR' theory *Physics of Life Reviews* **11**(1), 39-78 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plrev.2013.08.002</u> (2014).
- 39. Minsky, M. Conscious machines. Machinery of consciousness. Proceedings, National Research Council of Canada, 75th Anniversary Symposium on Science in Society (1991).
- 40. Choi, H. Y. et al. Study of matching rate in stim test between video-polygraph based on vibraimage technology and traditional contacting polygraph. Proceedings of the 3rd International Open Science Conference: Modern Psychology. The Vibraimage Technology, June 2020, St. Petersburg, Russia. <u>https://doi.org/10.25696/ELSYS.10.VC3.EN</u> (2020).
- 41. Minkin, V. Psychophysiological response dynamics to visual stimuli depending on presentation period. Proceedings of the 4th International Open Science Conference: Modern Psychology. The Vibraimage Technology, June 2021, St. Petersburg, Russia, 245–256. https://doi.org/10.25696/ELSYS.VC4.EN.03 (2021).
- 42. Delacourte, A. et al. The biochemical pathway of neurofibrillary degeneration in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*, 52 (6) <u>https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.52.6.1158</u> (1999).
- 43. Jain, A. & Doyle, D.J. Stages or phenotypes? A critical look at COVID-19 pathophysiology. *Intensive Care Med.* **46**, 1494-1495. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06083-6</u> (2020).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Prof. Viktor I. Sedin, Prof. Alexander F. Bobrov and Dr. Alexander A. Kosenkov from Russian Federal Medical and Biological Agency for reading and comments of this materials.

We would like to thank all COVID-19 patients taking part in this study and doing regular measurements of behavioral parameters during the disease.

Additional information

Supplementary Information. The online version contains supplementary material available at https://psymaker.com/downloads/NN2.zip

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to V.A.M. Latest edition 28.08.2021